

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,395	02/02/2001	John Richard Skerrett	AFH-13782.1	2248	
7590 12/15/2003			EXAMINER		
Scott B. Garrison Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc. 401 North Lake Street			BUTLER, MICHAEL E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Neenah, WI	54957-0349	3653 DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/776,395

Applicant(s)

Skerrett et al.

Examiner

Michael E. Butler

Art Unit **3653**



A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The Mailling date of this corrousiation. If the period ter may be seciled drows is been then theiry (30) days, a reply within the settlicony minimum of this (10) days will be considered timely. If No period for may's a specified above, the meanman statutory period will apply a 50.16 MONTHS from the received minimum. If No period for may's a specified above, the meanman statutory period will apply a 50.16 MONTHS from the received dimely. If No period for may's a specified above, the meanman statutory period will apply a 50.16 MONTHS from the received dimely. If No period for may's a specified above, the meanman statutory period will apply a specified minimum. A period for may's a specified above, the meanman statutory period will apply a specified minimum. Status This action is FinAlL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Island	•	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover shee	et with	the correspondence address		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.13 (iii). In no event, however, may a nephy be timely filed after SIX (ii) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the parish of revel y specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a nephy within the statutery minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the parish of revel y specified above. Failus to nephy while the set of extended parish for rest will, by statution along the specified on the parish to revelop the communication. Failus to nephy while the set of extended parish for rest will, by statution along the specified on the parish to receive the communication. Failus to nephy while the set of extended parish for rest will, by statution and the parish to receive the communication. Failus to nephy while the set of extended parish for rest will, by statution and parish term eligible than the parish term of the parish ter	Period t	for Reply					
If the period for mayby specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a mayby within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered from the communication. If MO period for making date of the communication. Fallus to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (8) 5 U.S.C. § 1130. Any reply received by the Office learn than these months after the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any substance and the communication and the commu	THE N	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r					
1 Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 19, 2003 2a X This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 X Claim(s) 1-8	- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	nd will expire SIX (6) M e application to become	ONTHS fro	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
2a) \ This action is FINAL. 2b) \ This action is non-final. 3] \ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 \ Claim(s) 1-8	Status						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 1-8	1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 19, 20	003				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8	2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This acti	ion is non-final.				
All Claim(s) 1-8	3) 🗆						
all of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are rejected.	Disposi	tion of Claims			•		
Si/are allowed. Si/are allowed. Si/are rejected. Si/are rejected. Si/are objected to. Si/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers Si/are allowed. Si/are allowed. Si/are objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Si/are allowed. Si/are allowed. Si/are allowed. Si/are objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Si/are allowed. Si/are allowed. Si/are objected to by the Examiner. Si/are allowed. Si/are objected to by the Examiner. Si/are objected to objected to by the Examiner. Si/are objected to objected to objected to by the Examiner. Si/are objected to object	4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
Si/are allowed. Si/are allowed. Si/are rejected. Si/are rejected. Si/are objected to. Si/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers Si/are allowed. Si/are allowed. Si/are objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Si/are allowed. Si/are allowed. Si/are allowed. Si/are objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Si/are allowed. Si/are allowed. Si/are objected to by the Examiner. Si/are allowed. Si/are objected to by the Examiner. Si/are objected to objected to by the Examiner. Si/are objected to objected to objected to by the Examiner. Si/are objected to object	4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s) 1-8	5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
Claims							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on							
Application Papers 9)							
9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on					·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		·					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted	or b)	objected to by the Examiner.		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority	·					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § \$ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	•				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.	ı			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,						
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	•		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies	s not re	ceived.		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		_					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			priority under 3	5 U.S.C	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			4) 🗍 المدانية والمدانية وا	(DTO	412) Dec es Nedel		
			_				
					r upinoution (1.10-1.04)		

Serial Number: 09/776395 Page: 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action, and apply to this and any subsequent Office Actions.

Priority

2. Applicant's claim(s) of priority as a divisional application 09/106978 (US Patent 6213346) filed 6/29/98 is acknowledged.

Drawings

3. New drawings will be required contingent upon allowance because the drawings were declared informal by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lloyd et al. which discloses:

(re: cl 1) napkin assembly comprising (p2 L 21-25): first continuous napkin sheet having a plurality of napkins having basis weight of about 20-40 gsm (p2 L 45-47) each napkin interconnected to the adjacent napkin by a plurality of tabs (Fig. 2, 22)

Serial Number: 09/776395

Art Unit: 3653

second continuous napkin sheet comprising a plurality of napkins each napkin comprising a plurality of sheets (p2 L 45-47)

first and second napkin sheets positioned proximate one another in nested formation (p3 L 44-47);

the tabs oriented in a direction parallel to (tab between perforations 12 of fig 2 and fig 3);

(re: cl 6) machine direction tensile is greater than 2000 g (table 1)

(re: cl 7) T/S ratio is greater than .03 (p3 L 25-27, derivable from the 1.5/11 ratio);

(re: cl 8) tab strength is greater than 30 g-f. (p 3 L 24-26 for napkins at least 2.8 cm).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 4, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lloyd et

al. '382 which discloses the elements previously discussed and further discloses:

- (re: cl 4) the napkin basis weight is about 30 gsm (p2 L 45-47, 30 GSM is the midrange of 25-35 gsm).
- 8. Claims 1-2, 4, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd et al. '382 in view of Young et al. with Lloyd et al. '382 disclosing the elements previously discussed and further disclosing:

at least on napking terminates at about the fold of a respective napkin (fig 2);

Young disclosing the elements not explicitly taught by Lloyd et al. '382 of:

first member integrally formed with second member formed (c6 L 6-23).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify the Lloyd et al. with an integrally formed web of Young et al. because it is easier to cut a single web in

Serial Number: 09/776395

Art Unit; 3653

· an automated manufacturing system into plural webs than to assemble separate webs as taught by Young et al. and thereby come up with the instant invention.

9. Claims 1, 4, and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd et al. '382 in view of Everhart with Lloyd et al. '382 disclosing the elements previously discussed and Everhart disclosing:

(re: cl 5) napkins comprise pulp fibers (c4 L 47).

It would have been obvious for Lloyd et al. to use pulp fibers to make the napkins of pulp fibers because pulp based fibers are the most prevalent materials used in making paper, provide an absorbent paper, and are low priced as taught by Everhart and thereby come up with the present invention.

10. Claims 1, 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd et al. '382 in view of Cook et al. with Lloyd et al. '382 disclosing the elements previously discussed and Cook et al. further disclosing:

(re: cl 4) the napkin basis weight is about 30 gsm (c 9 L 1-3);

(re: cl 5) napkins comprise pulp fibers (c4 L 47);

(re: cl 8) tab strength is greater than 30 g-f. (c10 L 15-30).

It would have been obvious for Lloyd et al. '382 to use a napkin basis weight of about 30 gsm because thin napkins may be sold cheaper than heavier napkins as taught by Cook et al. and thereby come up with the present invention.

It would have been obvious for Lloyd et al. '382 to use pulp fibers to make the napkins of pulp fibers because pulp based fibers are the most prevalent materials used in making paper, provide an absorbent paper, and are low priced as taught by Cook et al. and thereby come up with the present invention

Art Unit: 3653

• 11. Claims 1, 3-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd et al. '382 in view of Dwiggins et al. wherein Lloyd et al. '382 discloses the elements previously discussed and further discloses:

(re: cl 3) at least 300 napkins terminate proximate a fold between first and second members (c3 L 26-31); at least one napkin from the first sheet terminates at the fold of the second sheet (c2 L 35-59).

Dwiggins et al. discloses the use of a stack size of 500 napkins (c24 table 10). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to increase the stack size of Lloyd et al. '382 to 500 napkins because 500 sheet refill clips are available and need be refilled less frequently as taught by Dwiggins et al. thereby coming up with the instant invention.

Response to Amendments/Arguments

12. The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are unpersuasive in overcoming the rejections. As seen in figures 2 and 3 of Lloyd et al. '382, the tabs of Lloyd et al. '382 are oriented in the same direction as the newly amended claimed limitation and like the drawings fig 1-3 of the instant case. Accordingly, the amendment was ineffective in overcoming the rejections evidenced by Lloyd et al. '382.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Serial Number: 09/776395 Page: 6

Art Unit: 3653

. mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exmr. Michael E. Butler whose telephone number is (703) 308-8344..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax number for the Group is (703) 305-7687.

Michael E. Butler

Michael E. Binder

SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner